

## MID-CONTINENT FAMILIES LIKELY TO PAY MORE FOR ELECTRICITY IF ACCESS TO ENERGY IS DENIED

Unless the region's elected leaders expand energy delivery, the Mid-Continent states will see increased energy prices due to constraints in supply.

The average Mid-Continent family currently enjoys some of the lowest electricity costs in the nation. While these low costs are attributable to the region's access to natural resources and booming energy production,<sup>1</sup> they could end in only a few years unless our elected officials work to quickly approve infrastructure and pipeline projects. This planning is especially important, as some of the nation's poorest communities reside in states across the Mid-Continent region (e.g. Camden, AR; Opelousas, LA; Deming, NM; Commerce, OK; and San Benito, TX, where the average household income is \$24,857<sup>2</sup> - 55.43 percent less than the national average).





Even small increases in energy prices could have a devastating effect on families in the Mid-Continent region where like the list above have median household incomes of \$10,000 to \$25,000 dollars less than the national average<sup>3</sup>, and where low-income households pay roughly 22% of their after-tax income on residential utility bills and gasoline, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS).

Right now, access to abundant, low-cost energy across the region is being challenged by an absence of sufficient energy delivery in the form of new pipelines and upgrades to existing pipelines. These pipelines are necessary to meet demand and bring energy to Mid-Continent families and businesses.<sup>4</sup>

In fact, according to the Mid-Continent Independent System Operator (MISO), the independent grid manager for 15 states:

# "The region could have a power generation shortfall starting in 2018 due to significant power plant retirements."<sup>5</sup>

The number of power plant closures is especially concerning when the increasing demand for energy is taken into consideration, especially in states such as Texas, which set a record for electricity use in August 2016.

Most of the anticipated power plant retirements in the U.S., and in the Mid-Continental region, will come in the form of coal and nuclear – meaning a majority of that expected shortfall will need to be made up with natural gas fired electricity generation. To accommodate that demand, new and upgraded pipelines for natural gas power plants will be essential.

While most Mid-Continent families currently pay, on average, a rate roughly 9% lower than the national

average of 12.90 cents per kilowatt hour (kWh), it is also home to states like Texas where the average monthly bill is 17% higher than the national average.<sup>6</sup>

- A recent analysis found that the bottom 20 percent of earners spend almost 10 percent of their income solely on electricity, more than seven times the portion of income that the top fifth pays.<sup>7</sup>
- Of those low-income earners that spend 10 percent of their income on power bills, 50 percent of them are African-American families.<sup>8</sup>
- The average household in the U.S. currently pays 13 cents per kilowatt hour (KwH). In the latest EIA data from 2015, those households used on average 901 KwH per month totaling \$116 in electricity bills, which in a year, results in 4.78% of the average income of the poorest Mid-Continent families as discussed above.
- Citizens at or near the poverty level are disproportionately impacted. Of the 43.3 million people on food stamps nationwide, over 8.4 million reside in the Mid-Continent (AR 401,980, CO-469,090, IA-377,379, KS-247,976, LA - 925,861, MO-778,698, OK-614,993, NE-176,130, NM-486,098, ND-54,330, SD-95,654, TX-3,796,484).<sup>9</sup>
- As of October 2016, New Mexico had the highest percentage of residents in the nation (23.3%) reliant on food stamps.

Despite these numbers, there is good news. Expansion of energy delivery from pipeline buildouts has had a positive effect on consumers across the Mid-Continent and the nation. Currently, families and motorists in the region are saving \$34.6 billion in



gasoline costs compared to 2012 levels based on data compiled by the Energy Information Administration.

With pipelines responsible for moving roughly 70% of the nation's crude oil and petroleum products,<sup>10</sup> these savings have largely been achieved due to stable energy delivery and many families and households in the Mid-Continent are turning those savings (approximately \$1.17/gallon) into more travel and leisure activities. Just this year, over Memorial Day Weekend, AAA estimated that travel was at its highest levels since 2005, with more than 39.3 million Americans driving or flying more than 50 miles from home. To put that into perspective, a Mid-Continent history lover's road trip from the Alamo, in San Antonio, to Mt. Rushmore, in South Dakota, would cost \$110.46 less than it would have in 2012.

### So, why are states in this region now in danger of experiencing power generation shortfalls and rising prices?

One solution to the problem of high energy prices and generation shortfalls is to increase our oil and natural gas output. However, to fully realize the benefits of increased production, we must also increase our ability to deliver that energy through expanded pipeline capacity.<sup>11</sup> This solution requires eliminating the regulatory constraints that have, in the past, prohibited our output capacity from growing.<sup>12</sup>

However, getting approval for this infrastructure has been difficult. In fact, there are at least 11 major projects totaling 12,718 MMcf/day in natural gas. These projects, which currently have no timeline for approval from Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), could help consumers, families, and small businesses deal with energy shortfalls.<sup>13</sup> And although major pipeline projects were eventually approved by the Trump administration, those delays resulted in the loss of valuable time needed to expedite the growth of our energy delivery capabilities. If we are going to effectively lower energy prices for ratepayers, it is vital that we continue to increase our pipeline infrastructure.

### Benefits and Implications of Expanded Pipeline Development

- Substantial pipeline construction activity is underway to align with increased production in the Permian Basin in Texas, the northwest region of New Mexico, the South Central region in Oklahoma, the Bakken Shale in North Dakota and other areas.
- Large scale projects recently approved by the Trump Administration have the capacity to bring hundreds of thousands of barrels per day of North American energy - oil and natural gas liquids - into our nation's refining complexes, along with billions in new economic investments and thousands of jobs
- For example, the Dakota Access Pipeline project is expected to create up to 12,000 jobs and nearly \$3.8 billion in investments that would in turn generate \$156 million in state and local taxes and \$55 million in annual state tax revenue.
- Expanded access to energy created 1.9 million jobs nation-wide in 2015<sup>14</sup>
- From 2015 to 2016, construction and operation of crude oil pipelines contributed a combined \$46.9 billion to gross domestic product (GDP) and generated \$7.6 billion in the manufacturing revenues nationwide.



- There are new opportunities in the Mid-Continent for exports delivered by pipeline to Mexico and at port facilities to meet energy needs across the globe, further improving our balance of trade while creating new manufacturing and petrochemical jobs across the region.
- In April 2017, it was announced that the world's largest ethylene cracker facility would be built near Corpus Christi, TX, which will result in more than \$50 billion being injected into the local economy.<sup>15</sup>
- The U.S. already taken advantage of the opportunity to balance trade with Mexico, exporting \$3.7 billion of natural gas to the country just last year alone.<sup>16</sup> This same opportunity would exist to balance trade with other countries if adequate pipeline and terminal infrastructure were built.

# Cost & Safety Implications of Energy Delivery in Mid-Continent<sup>17</sup>

Capacity competition for transportation space can often lead to pressures on other impacted industries. The agriculture industry, for example, is relatively inflexible in terms of which transportation methods it can use, so whenever one of these options becomes unavailable, the crops are inevitably lost or transportation costs increase.<sup>18</sup> Grain must move via trucks or via trains for certain routes and areas. If the new energy delivery capacity is built, it would free up additional trucks or trains for grain transport.

The likelihood of pipeline leaks and spills are now significantly lower than they were in the past due to stringent regulation and improved monitoring technology.<sup>19</sup> While many energy delivery options are

needed, transportation via pipeline is often the most economical option for many suppliers because of the large volumes they transport. Transporting fuels via pipeline can average \$5 per barrel while other modes of transport can range upwards of \$20 per barrel and are subject to capacity competition from other commodities and seasonal shutdowns.

### Families, Communities and Finances: The Consequences of Denying Critical Pipeline Infrastructure

#### A report recently released by Consumer Energy

Alliance, found that rejecting pipeline infrastructure and baseload power generation would remove almost a third of U.S. electricity generation capacity by 2030, dangerously raising electric rates nationwide, especially on poverty-stricken households. It also found significant impacts on energy security and fuel supplies as well as varying harmful regional implications.

#### **About Consumer Energy Alliance**

<u>Consumer Energy Alliance</u> (CEA) brings together families, farmers, small businesses, distributors, producers and manufacturers to support America's energy future. With more than 450,000 members nationwide, our mission is to help ensure stable prices and energy security for households across the country. We believe energy development is something that touches everyone in our nation, and thus it is necessary for all of us to actively engage in the conversation about how we develop our diverse energy resources and energy's importance to the economy. Learn more at <u>ConsumerEnergyAlliance.org</u>.



- 1,2. Electric Power Monthly, U.S. Energy Information Administration, <u>https://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/epm\_table\_grapher.</u> <u>cfm?t=epmt\_5\_6\_a</u>
- 3. Poorest Towns in Every State, 247 WallST. <u>http://247wallst.com/</u> special-report/2017/05/03/poorest-town-in-every-state-2/
- 4. United States Census Bureau, <u>https://www.census.gov/topics/</u> income-poverty/income/data.html
- 5. **Underground Natural Gas Storage**, US Energy Information Administration, <u>https://www.eia.gov/pub/oil\_gas/natural\_gas/</u> <u>analysis\_publications/ngpipeline/undrgrnd\_storage.html</u>
- 2015 Average Monthly Bill Residential, US Energy Information Administration, <u>https://www.eia.gov/electricity/sales\_revenue\_price/pdf/table5\_a.pdf</u>
- 7. <u>http://groundswell.org/frompower\_to\_empowerment\_wp.pdf</u>
- 8. <u>http://groundswell.org/frompower\_to\_empowerment\_wp.pdf</u>
- 9. FRAC Research Data, SNAP Monthly Data, October 2016 <u>http://</u> <u>frac.org/wp-content/uploads/snapdata2016-oct.pdf</u>
- 10. https://www.manhattan-institute.org/pdf/ib\_23.pdf
- 11. <u>http://www.chron.com/opinion/outlook/article/Lack-of-pipeline-capacity-may-dim-U-S-energy-6290198.php</u>
- 12. <u>http://www.chron.com/opinion/outlook/article/Lack-of-pipeline-capacity-may-dim-U-S-energy-6290198.php</u>
- 13. *Major Pipelines Pending*, FERC <u>https://www.ferc.gov/industries/gas/indus-act/pipelines/pending-projects.asp</u>

- 14. America's Oil Pipelines, NAM, <u>http://www.nam.org/Issues/</u> Energy-and-Environment/Crude-Oil-Pipeline-One-Pager.pdf
- 15. Corpus Christi Caller Times, April 17, 2017. <u>http://www.caller.</u> <u>com/story/money/business/local/2017/04/19/exxon-mobil-corp-</u> <u>worlds-largest-ethylene-cracker-plant-south-texas/98820232/</u>
- U.S. Natural Gas Exports and Re-Exports by Point of Exit, U.S. Energy Information Administration, <u>https://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng\_move\_poe2\_dcu\_nus-nmx\_a.htm</u>
- 17. Consumer Energy Alliance (CEA) recently issued a report entitled, <u>"Families, Communities and Finances: The Consequences</u> <u>of Denying Critical Pipeline Infrastructure,"</u> which found that rejecting pipeline infrastructure and baseload power generation would remove almost one-third of U.S. electricity generation capacity by 2030, dangerously raising electric rates nationwide, especially for poverty-stricken households. It also found significant impacts on energy security and fuel supplies as well as varying harmful regional implications.
- Transporting Grain by Pipeline, Elaine Kub, <u>http://www.fb.org/</u> <u>newsroom/new-pipeline-infrastructure-key-to-unloading-freight-</u> <u>rail-backlog-helping-a</u>
- Insufficient Freight, American Farm Bureau Federation, <u>http://www.ourenergypolicy.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/InsufficientFreight-WhitePaper-D7.pdf</u>